

REMARKS

A final rejection was mailed February 27, 2009.

Apparatus claims 10-12, 14-15 and 17 have been amended to recite a “processor” and a “computer memory holding instructions computer program instructions which when executed by the processor perform a method.” The original means-plus-function (MPF) elements in these claims have now been rewritten to recite the steps of the method.

Likewise, computer program product claims 19-21, 23-24 and 26 have been amended to recite that the product holds “computer program instructions which when executed by the proxy server perform a method.” The original MPF elements in these claims have now been rewritten to recite the steps of that method.

These amendments are submitted to address any potential issues under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and, in particular, any argument that these claims are non-statutory.

The Examiner is requested to enter these amendments to place the case in better condition for appeal, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.116.

A Notice of Appeal accompanies this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

/David H. Judson/

By:

David H. Judson, Reg. No. 30,467
May 27, 2009